[Parties and Counsel Listed on Signature Pages]

3

1

2

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS FILING RELATES TO:

ALL ACTIONS

MDL No. 3047

Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR (PHK)

Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING DISCOVERY LIMITATIONS

Plaintiffs and Defendants (each a "Party" and collectively the "Parties") hereby submit the following Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Governing Discovery Limitations.

# **STIPULATION**

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL:

1. Plaintiffs as a group (including the JCCP Plaintiffs)<sup>1</sup> are allotted a combined total of up to 45 Interrogatories for each of the four main Defendant groups (*i.e.*, Meta, TikTok, Snap, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Plaintiffs" refers to the MDL Personal Injury ("PI") Plaintiffs, MDL School District and Local Government Entity ("SD") Plaintiffs (together with the PI Plaintiffs, the "PI/SD Plaintiffs"), the MDL State Attorneys General ("State AGs"), and the JCCP Plaintiffs.

YouTube). The State AGs are further allotted a combined total of eight (8) additional Interrogatories for the Meta Defendants.

- 2. Plaintiffs as a group (including the JCCP Plaintiffs) are allotted a combined total of up to 45 Requests for Admission ("RFAs") for each of the four main Defendant groups (*i.e.*, Meta, TikTok, Snap, and YouTube).<sup>2</sup> The State AGs are further allotted a combined total of up to eight (8) additional RFAs for the Meta Defendants.
- 3. The Meta Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to 32 identical Interrogatories for each State AG. The Meta Defendants are further allotted a combined total of up to six (6) additional State-specific Interrogatories for each State AG.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. The Meta Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to 32 identical RFAs for each State AG. The Meta Defendants are further allotted a combined total of up to six (6) additional State-specific RFAs for each State AG.

# **Depositions**

- 5. In addition to the 35 hours of depositions of each bellwether SD Plaintiff permitted by the Court, Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to ten (10) hours for Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of each bellwether SD Plaintiff.
- 6. Depositions of treating providers in any given bellwether PI case may not exceed five (5) hours of questioning by the Defendants.
- 7. With the exception of minors and treating providers, depositions of all other witnesses in any given bellwether PI case may not exceed seven (7) hours of questioning by the Defendants, and depositions of all other witnesses in any given bellwether SD case may not exceed ten (10) hours of questioning by the Defendants. The Parties shall meet and confer in advance of each deposition regarding estimated time lengths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The limitations on RFAs set forth in this Order do not include any RFAs that may be needed for purposes of authentication (to the extent the Parties are not able to stipulate to the authentication of documents through other means).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The State AGs note that their agreements regarding interrogatories and RFAs on the State AGs are subject to their understanding that party discovery—including interrogatories and RFAs—is appropriate only as to the State AGs and not as to State agencies.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8. For good cause shown, a Party may seek an expansion or contraction of the discovery limits set forth above on a case-by-case basis or in a specific instance. Any Party seeking an expansion or contraction of the hours limit for a particular deposition shall meet and confer with the other side in advance of the deposition and, if the Parties are unable to agree on the requested expansion or contraction, the Party seeking the modification may raise the dispute with the Court in accordance with the Court's procedures for resolving discovery disputes. However, nothing in this agreement shall be used to delay or alter the ordered limitations of a properly noticed deposition should the dispute not be resolved before the date of the noticed deposition.<sup>4</sup>

## IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

DATED: March 6, 2024 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Lexi J. Hazam

LEXI J. HAZAM

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

275 BATTERY STREET, 29<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339

Telephone: 415-956-1000

lhazam@lchb.com

PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC

401 9th Street NW Suite 630 Washington DC 20004 T: 202-386-9610

pwarren@motleyrice.com

Co-Lead Counsel

CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER **SEEGER WEISS, LLP** 55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Parties further reserve the right to advocate for modifications of discovery limitations, including depositions and other discovery, in the event discovery on a particular Party becomes disproportionate, taking into account relevant factors.

1	RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
2	Telephone: 973-639-9100
_	Facsimile: 973-679-8656
3	cseeger@seegerweiss.com
4	Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
5	JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
6	ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
	155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
7	Telephone: 415-986-1400
8	jennie@andrusanderson.com
9	Liaison Counsel
10	RON AUSTIN
11	RON AUSTIN LAW
	400 Manhattan Blvd.
12	Harvey LA, 70058
13	Telephone: (504) 227–8100 raustin@ronaustinlaw.com
	Taustin@Tonaustiniaw.com
14	MATTHEW BERGMAN
15	GLENN DRAPER
16	SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
10	821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100 SEATTLE, WA 98104
17	Telephone: 206-741-4862
18	matt@socialmediavictims.org
	glenn@socialmediavictims.org
19	JAMES J. BILSBORROW
20	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
21	700 BROADWAY
41	NEW YORK, NY 10003 Telephone: 212-558-5500
22	Facsimile: 212-344-5461
23	jbilsborrow@weitzlux.com
24	PAIGE BOLDT WATTS GUERRA LLP
25	4 Dominion Drive, Bldg. 3, Suite 100
25	
	San Antonio, TX 78257
25 26	San Antonio, TX 78257 T: 210-448-0500
	San Antonio, TX 78257

1	WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL LLP
2	4740 Grand Avenue, Suite 300
_	Kansas City, MO 64112 T: 816-701 1100
3	tcartmell@wcllp.com
4	*
_	JAYNE CONROY
5	SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC 112 MADISON AVE, 7 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR
6	NEW YORK, NY 10016
7	Telephone: 917-882-5522
	jconroy@simmonsfirm.com
8	CARRIE GOLDBERG
9	C.A. GOLDBERG, PLLC
10	16 Court St.
	Brooklyn, NY 11241
11	T: (646) 666-8908 carrie@cagoldberglaw.com
12	currie e eugokubergiuw.com
	SIN-TING MARY LIU
13	AYLSTOCK WITKIN KREIS &
14	<b>OVERHOLTZ, PLLC</b> 17 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 200
اہرا	PENSACOLA, FL 32502
15	Telephone: 510-698-9566
16	mliu@awkolaw.com
17	ANDRE MURA
	GIBBS LAW GROUP, LLP
18	1111 BROADWAY, SUITE 2100
19	OAKLAND, CA 94607 Telephone: 510-350-9717
20	amm@classlawgroup.com
20	
21	EMMIE PAULOS
22	LEVIN PAPANTONIO RAFFERTY 316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET, SUITE 600
	PENSACOLA, FL 32502
23	Telephone: 850-435-7107
24	epaulos@levinlaw.com
25	ROLAND TELLIS
	DAVID FERNANDES
26	BARON & BUDD, P.C.
27	15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
	Encino, CA 91436 Telephone: (818) 839-2333
28	Telephone. (010) 037 2333
- 1	

1	Facsimile: (818) 986-9698
	rtellis@baronbudd.com
2	dfernandes@baronbudd.com
3	ALEXANDRA WALSH
4	WALSH LAW
_	1050 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 500
5	Washington D.C. 20036 T: 202-780-3014
6	awalsh@alexwalshlaw.com
7	MICHAEL M. WEINIZOWITZ
	MICHAEL M. WEINKOWITZ LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, LLP
8	510 WALNUT STREET
9	SUITE 500
1.0	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106
10	Telephone: 215-592-1500
11	mweinkowitz@lfsbalw.com
12	DIANDRA "FU" DEBROSSE ZIMMERMANN
	DICELLO LEVITT
13	505 20 <sup>th</sup> St North
14	Suite 1500
14	Birmingham, Alabama 35203
15	Telephone: 205.855.5700 fu@dicellolevitt.com
16	Tu@dicenoieviit.com
	HILLARY NAPPI
17	HACH & ROSE LLP
18	112 Madison Avenue, 10th Floor
	New York, New York 10016
19	Tel: 212.213.8311
20	hnappi@hrsclaw.com
	JAMES MARSH
21	MARSH LAW FIRM PLLC
22	31 HUDSON YARDS, 11TH FLOOR
	NEW YORK, NY 10001-2170
23	Telephone: 212-372-3030
24	jamesmarsh@marshlaw.com
25	Attorneys for Individual Plaintiffs
	PHILIP J. WEISER
26	Attorney General
27	State of Colorado
28	_/s/ Bianca E. Miyata
20	_/s/ Diunca E. miyata

- 1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Bianca E. Miyata, CO Reg. No. 42012, pro hac vice Senior Assistant Attorney General Lauren M. Dickey, CO Reg. No. 45773 First Assistant Attorney General Megan Paris Rundlet, CO Reg. No. 27474 Senior Assistant Solicitor General Elizabeth Orem, CO Reg. No. 58309 **Assistant Attorney General** Colorado Department of Law Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center **Consumer Protection Section** 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, CO 80203 Phone: (720) 508-6651 bianca.miyata@coag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado, ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General

#### **ROB BONTA**

Attorney General State of California

#### \_/s/ Bernard Eskandari

Nick A. Akers (CA SBN 211222) Senior Assistant Attorney General Bernard Eskandari (SBN 244395) Supervising Deputy Attorney General Megan O'Neill (CA SBN 343535) Joshua Olszewski-Jubelirer (CA SBN 336428) Marissa Roy (CA SBN 318773) Deputy Attorneys General California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Phone: (415) 510-4400 Fax: (415) 703-5480 Bernard.Eskandari@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California

#### RUSSELL COLEMAN

Attorney General Commonwealth of Kentucky

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

J. Christian Lewis (KY Bar No. 8/109),
Pro hac vice
Philip Heleringer (KY Bar No. 96748),
Pro hac vice
Zachary Richards (KY Bar No. 99209),
Pro hac vice app. forthcoming
Daniel I. Keiser (KY Bar No. 100264),
Pro hac vice
Matthew Cocanougher (KY Bar No. 94292)
Pro hac vice
Assistant Attorneys General
1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200
Frankfort, KY 40601
CHRISTIAN.LEWIS@KY.GOV
PHILIP.HELERINGER@KY.GOV

s/ J. Christian Lewis

MATTHEW.COCANOUGHER@KY.GOV Phone: (502) 696-5300 Fax: (502) 564-2698

Attorneys for Plaintiff the Commonwealth of Kentucky

# MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

ZACH.RICHARDS@KY.GOV DANIEL.KEISER@KY.GOV

Attorney General State of New Jersey

#### /s/ Kashif T. Chand

Kashif T. Chand (NJ Bar No. 016752008), pro hac vice Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General Thomas Huynh (NJ Bar No. 200942017), pro hac vice Assistant Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General Gina F. Pittore (NJ Bar No. 323552019), pro hac vice Verna J. Pradaxay (NJ Bar No. 335822021), pro hac vice Mandy K. Wang (NJ Bar No. 373452021), pro hac vice Deputy Attorneys General New Jersey Office of the Attorney General, Division of Law 124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor Newark, NJ 07101

1	Tel: (973) 648-2052
2	Kashif.Chand@law.njoag.gov
_	Thomas.Huynh@law.njoag.gov
3	Gina.Pittore@law.njoag.gov
	Verna.Pradaxay@law.njoag.gov
4	Mandy.Wang@law.njoag.gov
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs New Jersey Division of
	Consumer Affairs
6	
7	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
8	By: <u>/s/ Ashley M. Simonsen</u>
	Ashley M. Simonsen, SBN 275203
9	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
	1999 Avenue of the Stars
10	Los Angeles, CA 90067
11	Telephone: (424) 332-4800
	Facsimile: + 1 (424) 332-4749
12	Email: asimonsen@cov.com
13	Phyllis A. Jones, pro hac vice
	Paul W. Schmidt, pro hac vice
14	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
15	One City Center
	850 Tenth Street, NW
16	Washington, DC 20001-4956
, ,	Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
17	Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291
18	Email: pajones@cov.com
19	Attorney for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
	f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings,
20	LLC; Facebook Operations, LLC; Facebook
,	Payments, Inc.; Facebook Technologies, LLC;
21	Instagram, LLC; Siculus, Inc.; and Mark Elliot
22	Zuckerberg
	EAECDE DODUCED LA D
23	FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
24	<u>By: /s/ Andrea Roberts Pierson</u> Andrea Roberts Pierson, <i>pro hac vice</i>
	Andrea Roberts Fierson, <i>pro hac vice</i> Amy Fiterman, <i>pro hac vice</i>
25	FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
	300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2500
26	Indianapolis, IN 46204
27	Telephone: + 1 (317) 237-0300
	Facsimile: + 1 (317) 237-1000
28	Email: andrea.pierson@faegredrinker.com
- 1	

1	Email: amy.fiterman @faegredrinker.com
2	GEOFFREY DRAKE, pro hac vice
3	David Mattern, <i>pro ha vice</i> KING & SPALDING LLP
4	1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600
5	Atlanta, GA 30309 Tel.: 404-572-4600
6	Email: gdrake@kslaw.com Email: dmattern@kslaw.com
7	Attorneys for Defendants TikTok Inc. and
8	ByteDance Inc.
9	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
10	By: /s/ Jonathan H. Blavin Jonathan H. Blavin, SBN 230269
11	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
12	San Francisco, CA 94105-3089
13	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
14	Email: jonathan.blavin@mto.com
15	Rose L. Ehler (SBN 29652) Victoria A. Degtyareva (SBN 284199)
16	Laura M. Lopez, (SBN 313450)
17	Ariel T. Teshuva (SBN 324238) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
18	350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426
19	Telephone: (213) 683-9100
20	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 Email: rose.ehler@mto.com
21	Email: victoria.degtyareva@mto.com Email: Ariel.Teshuva@mto.com
22	Lauren A. Bell (pro hac vice forthcoming)
23	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
24	601 Massachusetts Ave., NW St., Suite 500 E
25	Washington, D.C. 20001-5369 Telephone: (202) 220-1100
26	Facsimile: (202) 220-2300
27	Email: lauren.bell@mto.com
28	Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.

1	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
2	Professional Corporation
	<u>By: /s/ Brian M. Willen</u> Brian M. Willen
3	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
4	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
5	New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899
6	Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
7	Lauren Gallo White
8	Samantha A. Machock
9	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105
10	Telephone: (415) 947-2000
11	Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
12	Email: lwhite@wsgr.com Email: smachock@wsgr.com
12	Eman. smachock@wsgr.com
13	Christopher Chiou
14	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 633 West Fifth Street
15	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2048
13	Telephone: (323) 210-2900
16	Facsimile: (866) 974-7329
17	Email: cchiou@wsgr.com
18	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
	LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
19	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
20	By: /s/ Joseph G. Petrosinelli
21	Joseph G. Petrosinelli jpetrosinelli@wc.com
	Ashley W. Hardin
22	ahardin@wc.com
23	680 Maine Avenue, SW
24	Washington, DC 20024 Telephone.: 202-434-5000
	Fax: 202-434-5029
25	August for Defendance Vertal LIC Cont
26	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
27	-, <del>,</del>
28	

# **ATTESTATION**

I, Ashley Simonsen, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1, that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

Dated: March 6, 2024

By: /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen

Ashley M. Simonsen

# [PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court hereby ORDERS the following limitations on discovery, pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation above and the Court's Discovery Management Order No. 3 (ECF 667).

## **Interrogatories and Requests for Admission**

- 1. Plaintiffs as a group (including the JCCP Plaintiffs)<sup>5</sup> are allotted a combined total of up to 45 Interrogatories for each of the four main Defendant groups (*i.e.*, Meta, TikTok, Snap, and YouTube). The State AGs are further allotted a combined total of eight (8) additional Interrogatories for the Meta Defendants.
- 2. Plaintiffs as a group (including the JCCP Plaintiffs) are allotted a combined total of up to 45 Requests for Admission ("RFAs") for each of the four main Defendant groups (*i.e.*, Meta, TikTok, Snap, and YouTube).<sup>6</sup> The State AGs are further allotted a combined total of up to eight (8) additional RFAs for the Meta Defendants.
- 3. The Meta Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to 32 identical Interrogatories for each State AG. The Meta Defendants are further allotted a combined total of up to six (6) additional State-specific Interrogatories for each State AG.
- 4. The Meta Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to 32 identical RFAs for each State AG. The Meta Defendants are further allotted a combined total of up to six (6) additional State-specific RFAs for each State AG.
- 5. Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to fifteen (15) Interrogatories for each bellwether SD Plaintiff, ten (10) interrogatories for each minor (under age eighteen) bellwether PI Plaintiff, and seven (7) interrogatories for all other bellwether PI Plaintiffs. Defendants are further allotted a combined total of up to 15 RFAs for each bellwether PI Plaintiff and 17 RFAs for each bellwether SD Plaintiff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Plaintiffs" refers to the MDL Personal Injury ("PI") Plaintiffs, MDL School District and Local Government Entity ("SD") Plaintiffs (together with the PI Plaintiffs, the "PI/SD Plaintiffs"), the MDL State Attorneys General ("State AGs"), and the JCCP Plaintiffs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The limitations on RFAs set forth in this Order do not include any RFAs that may be needed for purposes of authentication (to the extent the Parties are not able to stipulate to the authentication of documents through other means).

#### **Depositions**

- 6. The PI/SD Plaintiffs are allotted a combined total of up to 240 hours for fact and Rule 30(b)(6) depositions with respect to each of the four main Defendant groups (*i.e.*, Meta, Snap, TikTok, and YouTube). The State AGs are allotted up to an additional 48 hours for such depositions of the Meta Defendants. The length of any such deposition shall not exceed twelve hours per deponent. The Parties shall meet and confer in advance of each deposition regarding estimated time lengths.
- 7. Hours allotments for fact and Rule 30(b)(6) depositions with respect to the State AGs shall be set forth in a separate, further order.
- 8. Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to 30 hours for fact depositions of each bellwether PI Plaintiff and a combined total of up to 35 hours for depositions of each bellwether SD Plaintiff. Defendants are allotted a combined total of up to ten (10) hours for Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of each bellwether SD Plaintiff. Depositions of minor bellwether PI Plaintiffs (where minors are those persons under age eighteen (18)) shall be limited to a maximum of three (3) hours in single-defendant cases and a maximum of four (4) hours in multi-defendant cases. Depositions of treating providers in any given bellwether PI case may not exceed five (5) hours of questioning by the Defendants.
- 9. Depositions of all other witnesses in any given bellwether PI case may not exceed seven (7) hours of questioning by the Defendants, and depositions of all other witnesses in any given bellwether SD case may not exceed ten (10) hours of questioning by the Defendants. The Parties shall meet and confer in advance of each deposition regarding estimated time lengths.
- 10. For good cause shown, a Party may seek an expansion or contraction of the discovery limits set forth above on a case-by-case basis or in a specific instance. Any Party seeking an expansion or contraction of the hours limit for a particular deposition shall meet and confer with the other side in advance of the deposition and, if the Parties are unable to agree on the requested expansion or contraction, the Party seeking the modification may raise the dispute with the Court in accordance with the Court's procedures for resolving discovery disputes. However, nothing in this agreement shall be used to delay or alter the ordered limitations of a properly noticed deposition should the dispute not be resolved before the date of the noticed deposition.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	Dated:	
3	Buteu.	MAGISTRATE JUDGE PETER H. KANG
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		15